

Baryogenesis from primordial tensor perturbations

Subhendra Mohanty, B. Mukhopadhyay and A. R. Prasanna

Physical Research Laboratory

Ahmedabad 380 009, India

Abstract

During inflation primordial quantum fluctuations of the spacetime metric become classical and there is a spontaneous CPT violation by the spin connection coupling terms of the metric with fermions. The energy levels of the left and the right chirality neutrinos is split which gives rise to a net lepton asymmetry at equilibrium. A net baryon asymmetry of the same magnitude can be generated from this lepton asymmetry either by a GUT, $B - L$ symmetry or by electroweak sphaleron processes which preserve $B + L$ symmetry. If the amplitude of the primordial tensor perturbations is of the order of 10^{-6} (as is expected from inflation models) and the lepton/baryon number violating processes freeze out at the GUT era $T_d \sim 10^{16} \text{Gev}$ then a baryon number asymmetry of the correct magnitude 10^{-10} can be generated.

The successful prediction of light element abundance by the big-bang nucleosynthesis [1] depends crucially on the assumption that the net baryon number to entropy ratio $\eta \sim (2.6 - 6.2) \times 10^{-10}$. In order to generate this non-zero baryon number from a baryon symmetric universe there are three basic requirements (Sakharov [2]): (i) There should be baryon number violating processes in particle interactions. (ii) C and CP violation to ensure that B generating processes are more rapid than $\bar{B}$ generating processes and (iii) Out of equilibrium conditions: as CPT demands that $m_b = m_{\bar{b}}$, the equilibrium phase space density of particles and antiparticles are the same. To maintain $n_b \neq n_{\bar{b}}$ the reactions should freeze out before particles and anti-particles achieve thermodynamic equilibrium.

In the standard baryogenesis scenarios [3] (i) baryon number violation occurs in Grand Unified Theories (GUT's) where quarks and leptons belong to the same irreducible representation of the gauge group. (ii) C is violated maximally in the electro-weak sector and CP violation is introduced by making the coupling constants of the lepto-quark gauge bosons complex. (iii) Out of equilibrium condition is achieved by the expansion of the universe when the reaction rates become lower than the Hubble expansion rate at some 'freeze-out' temperature. In GUT baryogenesis scenarios, the decoupling takes place in the GUT era $T_d \sim 10^{16} Gev$ and the correct baryon-asymmetry parameter is achieved by tuning the CP violation parameter in the gauge couplings.

In this paper we show that when the quantum fluctuations of the metric become classical CP and CPT are violated spontaneously due to the spin-connection couplings of fermions with gravity. In the presence of baryon/lepton violating GUT processes there is a net asymmetry generated between neutrinos and anti-neutrinos at *thermodynamic equilibrium*. This lepton-asymmetry goes down with temperature and gets frozen-in when the lepton-number violating GUT processes decouple. Baryon asymmetry can be achieved in two ways (i) if the GUT possesses a $B - L$ residual symmetry [9] (as in $SU(5)$) then the generation of lepton-asymmetry is accompanied

by baryon asymmetry of the same magnitude; (ii) the electroweak sphaleron processes [10] can generate baryon and lepton number violation at the electroweak scale. Sphaleron processes conserve $B + L$ so a lepton asymmetry generated in the GUT era can be converted to baryon asymmetry of the same magnitude.

The magnitude of the baryon/lepton asymmetry depends upon the magnitude of the primordial tensor perturbations and the decoupling temperature

$$\eta \simeq \frac{A_{\times} T_d}{g_*^{1/2} M_{pl}}. \quad (1)$$

If the primordial tensor perturbations have an amplitude $A_{\times} \sim 10^{-6}$, the decoupling temperature $T_d \sim 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$ and the relativistic degrees of freedom $g_* \sim 10^2$ then the baryon to photon ratio turns η turns out to be of the required magnitude $\eta \sim 10^{-10}$. This amplitude of primordial tensor perturbations is consistent with observations of scalar perturbations by COBE and predictions of many inflation models [6].

The general covariant coupling of spin 1/2 particles to gravity is given by the Lagrangian [4]

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left(\bar{\psi} i \gamma^a D_a \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi \right) \quad (2)$$

where the covariant derivative is given by

$$D_a = \left(\partial_a - \frac{i}{4} \omega_{bca} \sigma^{bc} \right), \quad (3)$$

and the spin-connections are

$$\omega_{bca} = e_{b\lambda} \left(\partial_a e^\lambda_c + \Gamma_{\gamma\mu}^\lambda e_c^\gamma e_a^\mu \right), \quad (4)$$

This Lagrangian is invariant under the local Lorentz transformation of the vierbein e_μ^a and the spinor fields: $e_\mu^a(x) \rightarrow \Lambda_b^a(x) e_\mu^b(x)$ and the $\psi(x) \rightarrow \exp(i\epsilon_{ab}(x)\sigma^{ab})\psi(x)$. Here $\sigma^{bc} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^b, \gamma^c]$ are generators of tangent space Lorentz transformation (a, b, c etc. denote the inertial frame "flat space" indices and α, β, γ etc. are the coordinate frame "curved space" indices such that $e_a^\mu e^\nu_a = g^{\mu\nu}$, $e_a^\mu e_\mu^b = \eta^{ab}$, $\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = 2\eta^{ab}$ where η^{ab} represents the inertial frame Minkowski metric, and $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the curved space metric).

The spin-connection term in the Dirac equation is a product of three Dirac matrices. By making use of the identity $\gamma^a \gamma^b \gamma^c = \eta^{ab} \gamma^c + \eta^{bc} \gamma^a - \eta^{ac} \gamma^b - i\epsilon^{abcd} \gamma_5 \gamma_d$ the spin connection term can be reduced to a vector $A^a \gamma_a$ and an axial vector $B^d \gamma_5 \gamma_d$ coupling. The vector term turns out to be anti-hermitian and disappears when the hermetian conjugate part is added to the lagrangian (2). The surviving interaction term which describes the spin-connection coupling of fermions can be written as a axial-vector

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \det(e) \bar{\psi} \left(i\gamma^a \partial_a - m - \gamma_5 \gamma_d B^d \right) \psi, \\ B^d &= \epsilon^{abcd} e_{b\lambda} \left(\partial_a e_c^\lambda + \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\lambda e_c^\alpha e_a^\mu \right)\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

In a local inertial frame of the fermion, the effect of a gravitational field appears solely as a axial-vector interaction term shown in (5). We can now calculate the four vector B^d for a perturbed Robertson-Walker universe.

The general form of perturbations on a flat Robertson-Walker expanding universe can be written as [5]

$$ds^2 = a(\tau)^2 \left[(1 + 2\phi) d\tau^2 - \omega_i dx^i d\tau - ((1 + 2\psi)\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}) dx^i dx^j \right]\tag{6}$$

where ϕ and ψ are scalar, ω_i are vector and h_{ij} are the tensor fluctuations of the metric. Of the ten degrees of freedom in the metric perturbations only six are independent and the remaining four can be set to zero by suitable gauge choice. For our application we need only the tensor perturbations and we choose the transverse-traceless (TT) gauge $h_i^i = 0, \partial^i h_{ij} = 0$ for the tensor perturbations. In the TT gauge the perturbed Robertson-Walker can be expressed as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = a(\tau)^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2\phi & -\omega_1 & -\omega_2 & -\omega_3 \\ -\omega_1 & -(1 + 2\psi) + h_+ & h_\times & 0 \\ -\omega_2 & h_\times & -(1 + 2\psi) - h_+ & 0 \\ -\omega_3 & 0 & 0 & -(1 + 2\psi) \end{pmatrix}\tag{7}$$

An orthogonal set of vierbiens e_μ^a for this metric is given by

$$e_\mu^a = a(\tau) \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \phi & -\omega_1 & -\omega_2 & -\omega_3 \\ 0 & -(1 + \psi) + h_+/2 & h_\times & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(1 + \psi) - h_+/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -(1 + \psi) \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

Using the vierbiens 8 the expression for the components of the four vector field B^d (5) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B^0 &= \partial_3 h_\times \\ B^1 &= (\nabla \times \vec{\omega})^1 \\ B^2 &= (\nabla \times \vec{\omega})^2 \\ B^3 &= (\nabla \times \vec{\omega})^3 + \partial_\tau h_\times \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The fermion bilinear term $\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\gamma_a\psi$ is odd under CPT transformation. When one treats B^a as a background field then the interaction term in (5) explicitly violates CPT . When the primordial metric fluctuations become classical i.e there is no back-reaction of the micro-physics involving the fermions on the metric and B^a is considered as a fixed external field then CPT is violated spontaneously.

What is important for our application is that the axial interaction term has different signs for left and right chiral fields. The axial coupling term for particles ψ and anti-particles ψ^c may be expressed as

$$\bar{\psi}\gamma_a\gamma_5\psi = \bar{\psi}_R\gamma_a\psi_R - \bar{\psi}_L\gamma_a\psi_L \quad (10)$$

$$\bar{\psi}^c\gamma_a\gamma_5\psi^c = (\bar{\psi}^c)_R\gamma_a(\psi^c)_R - (\bar{\psi}^c)_L\gamma_a(\psi^c)_L \quad (11)$$

In the standard model neutrinos have left chirality and anti-neutrinos have only right chirality so the first term in the neutrino coupling (10) and the second term in the anti-neutrino coupling (11) are not present. The spin-connection interaction has opposite signs for the (left-handed) neutrinos and (right-handed) anti-neutrinos. The presence

of non-zero neutrino mass does not affect our analysis as long as $m_\nu \ll M_{GUT} \sim 10^{16} GeV$.

The dispersion relation of left and right chirality fields are given by

$$(p_a \pm B_a)^2 = m^2 \quad (12)$$

(here and in the following the upper sign corresponds to ψ_L and the lower sign is for ψ_R). Keeping terms linear in the perturbations B^a the free particle energy of the left and right chirality states is

$$E_{L,R}(p) = (|\vec{p}| + \frac{m^2}{2|\vec{p}|}) \mp \left(B_0 - \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{B}}{|\vec{p}|} \right) \quad (13)$$

In the Standard model the left chirality neutrinos ν_L carry lepton number +1 and right chirality neutrinos ν_R are assigned lepton number (-1). In the presence of non-zero metric fluctuations, there is a split in energy levels of $\nu_{L,R}$ given by (13). If there are GUT processes that violate lepton number freely above some decoupling temperature T_d then the equilibrium value of lepton asymmetry generated for all $T > T_d$ will be

$$n(\nu_L) - n(\nu_R) = \frac{g}{2\pi^2} \int dp^3 \left[\{1 + \exp(\frac{E_L(p)}{T})\}^{-1} - \{1 + \exp(\frac{E_R(p)}{T})\}^{-1} \right] \quad (14)$$

In the ultra-relativistic regime $|\vec{p}| \gg m_\nu$ and assuming that $B_0 \ll T$ (which is valid at all temperatures below $M_{Pl} = 1.22 \times 10^{19} GeV$) the expression (14) for lepton asymmetry reduces to

$$\Delta n_L = \frac{gT^3}{6} \left(\frac{B_0}{T} \right) \quad (15)$$

The dependence on $\vec{B}$ drops out in the angular integration and the lepton asymmetry depends only on the tensor perturbations through B^0 . We can write B_0 as a product of an amplitude $A_\times$ times a wavenumber which represents the length scale over which the metric fluctuations vary. The Compton wavelengths of the particles in the GUT era ($\sim (10^{16} GeV)^{-1}$) is much smaller than the average wavelength of

the gravitational waves whose wavenumber $k \sim H = 1.66 g_*^{1/2} (T^2/M_{Pl})$. The gravitational wave background can be considered as a constant amplitude field for the GUT processes. The mean value of B_0 as a function of temperature and the primordial tensor wave amplitude $A_\times$ can be expressed as

$$\langle B_0 \rangle \simeq A_\times H \simeq A_\times \left(1.66 g_*^{1/2} \frac{T^2}{M_{Pl}} \right) \quad (16)$$

Here g_* is the number of relativistic degrees of freedom which for the standard model is 106.7.

The lepton asymmetry (14) as a function of temperature can therefore be expressed as (taking $g = 3$ for the three neutrino flavors)

$$\Delta n_L(T) \simeq 0.83 \frac{A_\times g_*^{1/2} T^4}{M_{Pl}}. \quad (17)$$

$\Delta n_L(T)$ decreases with temperature as long as the lepton violating processes are in thermodynamic equilibrium. When the temperature drops below a decoupling temperature for the lepton number violating processes, the value of $\Delta n_L(T < T_d)$ changes only due to expansion of the scale factor. The lepton number to entropy density ($s = 0.44 g_* T^3$) remains constant after decoupling and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta L(T < T_d) \equiv \frac{\Delta n_L(T_d)}{s(T_d)} &\simeq 1.89 \frac{A_\times T_d}{g_*^{1/2} M_{Pl}} \\ &\simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-10} \left(\frac{A_\times}{10^{-6}} \right) \left(\frac{T_d}{10^{16} \text{GeV}} \right) \left(\frac{107}{g_*} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Primordial tensor perturbations (along with the primordial scalar perturbations) contribute to the anisotropy of cosmic microwave background at large angles. The COBE DMR measurement [8] of temperature anisotropy $\Delta T = 30 \mu K$ sets an upper limit of $A_\times \leq 10^{-5}$. Tensor perturbations arise in inflation models [6] in the same way as scalar perturbations of the metric [7] from quantum fluctuations. The magnitude (and spectrum) of the tensor perturbations depend upon the details of inflation potential [6], typically they are expected to be an order of magnitude smaller in amplitude than scalar perturbations (which from COBE are $\sim 10^{-5}$). If one chooses

$A_T \sim 10^{-6}$ and the decoupling temperature of lepton number violating processes at the GUT scale $T_d \sim 10^{-16} GeV$ then a lepton asymmetry of $\Delta L \sim 10^{-10}$ is generated. If the GUT has a $B - L$ symmetry then a baryon asymmetry of the same magnitude and sign is generated as the lepton asymmetry (18). If the sign of the initial B_0 potential (16) is negative then GUT processes will generate more anti-neutrinos than neutrinos. In that case spalerons (which conserve $B + L$) ([10]) can convert the anti-neutrino excess to a baryon number asymmetry of the same magnitude and correct sign.

Spontaneous breaking of CPT to generate baryogenesis at thermal equilibrium was first introduced in [11]. In this model the baryon number current is coupled to a scalar field $(1/f)\partial_\mu\phi j_B^\mu$. When ϕ acquires a vacuum expectation value (vev) and acts like a classical field then this term splits the energy levels of baryons and anti-baryons thereby generating a net baryon asymmetry at thermal equilibrium. The correct magnitude of η depends on the coupling constant $(1/f)$ and the value of the scalar field vev . A more general class of explicit CPT violating terms in the lagrangian which can generate baryon asymmetry have been studied in [12].

In our mechanism the value of η is determined by the decoupling scale (taken as the GUT scale $10^{16} GeV$) and the amplitude of tensor perturbations ($\sim 10^{-6}$) which is consistent with COBE observations [8] and inflation models [6]. In future observations of CMB polarizations by the MAP and PLANK satellites it may be possible to accurately determine the magnitude of tensor perturbations and provide an experimental check on our baryogenesis model.

1. B.D.Fields and S.Sarkar , in D.Groom et al, Eur. Phys. J. **C15** (2000);
S.Sarkar, Rept. Prog.Phys. **59** ,1493 (1996).
2. A.D.Sakharov , JETP Lett. **5**, 24 (1967).
3. E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner ,*The Early Universe* , Addison-Wesley , (1990);
A.D.Dolgov, Phys. Rep. **222 C**, 309 (1992);

- A. Riotto and M.Trodden, , hep-ph 9901362.
4. J.Schwinger, *Particles, Sources and Fields*, **Vol. 1**, p 401, Addison-Wesley,(1970).
 5. P.J.E. Peebles, *Principles of Physical Cosmology*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1993);
A.R.Liddle and D.H.Lyth *Cosmological Inflation and Large-Scale structure*, Cambridge Univ Press, (2000).
 6. V.A.Rubakov, M.Sazhin and A.Veryaskin , Phys. Lett. **B 115**, 189 (1989);
R.Fabbri and M.Pollock, Phys.Lett. **B 125**, 445 (1983);
L.Abbot and M.Wise, Nucl.Phys.**B 244**,541 (1984);
B.Allen, Phys. Rev. D 37 (1988); L.P.Grischuk , Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2371 (1993);
M.S.Turner, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 3502 (1993);
M.S.Turner, M.White, J.E.Lidsey, Phys. Rev. **D48** , 4613 (1993).
 7. A.H.Guth and S.Y.Pi, Phys.Rev.Lett. **49**, 1110 (1982);
A.A.Starobinskii, Phys. Lett. **B117**175 (1982);
J.M.Bardeen, P.J.Steinhardt and M.S.Turner, Phys. Rev **D 28**, 679 (1983).
 8. G.Smoot *et al.*, Astroph. J. **396**, L1 (1992);
E.L.Wright Astroph J. **396** , L3 (1992).
 9. J.N.Fry, K.A.Olive and M.S.Turner, Phys.Rev.**D22**, 2953 (1980);
J.A.Harvey, E.W.Kolb, B.B.Reiss and S.Wolfram, Nucl.Phys. **B201** ,16(1982).
 10. V.A.Kuzmin, V.A.Rubakov and M.E.Shapashnikov, Phys.Lett.**B 155**,36 (1985).
 11. A.Cohen and D.Kaplan , Phys. Lett. **B 199**, 251 (1987).
 12. O.Bertolami, D.Colladay, V.A. Kostelecky and R. Potting , Phys Lett **B 395**, 178 (1997).